Transportation Master Plan - Comment Matrix

This matrix tracks written comments only related to the Transportation Master Plan, starting with comments received on the first draft released 7/19/24

	TMP Draft							
Comment #		Date	Comment From	Comment Type	Comment	Comment Location	City Response	City Action
							DRAFT 2 -	-
						Executive Summary - third	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
1	Draft 1	7/19/2024	Hongning Wang	Konveio #001	according to	paragraph - Pg. 2	Correction made	Correction made
						Executive Summary - GMA	DRAFT 2 -	
						Requirements & Updates to the	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
2	Draft 1	7/26/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #002	typo delete "the"	TMP - Pg. 4	Correction made	Correction made
						Executive Summary - GMA	DRAFT 2 -	
						Requirements & Updates to the	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
3	Draft 1	7/26/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #003	typo double period	TMP - Pg. 5	Correction made	Correction made
							DRAFT 2 -	
						Executive Summary - PSRC	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
4	Draft 1	8/7/2024	John Backman	Konveio #004	Move this heading to the next page	Checklist - Pg. 6	Correction made	Correction made
5	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #005	I recall hearing that this iteration of the TMP will be added to i.e., it is a starting point. The "Timeline" table at the end of the text clearly shows that lots of detail will be developed during 2025. I suggest that you state that in either 1.1 Background or 1.2 Planning Context, and in the Executive Summary. These sections as written imply that the TMP is in final form.	Chapter 1 - 1.1 Background - third paragraph - Pg. 11	DRAFT 2 - Added text regarding additional details will be added in 2025 TMP update to the Executive Summary.	Text added
0	Due fit 1	7/00/0004	Miles Dreade	Kamusia #000	Consider a table showing the policy goals of each (WA state, PSRC,) It would help to more quickly see the overarching goals that the TMP is	Chapter 1 - 1.2 Planning Context -	DRAFT 2 - Added a sentence to reference the tables in Executive Summary for GMA	
6	Draft 1	//26/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #006	working toward achieving.	first paragraph - Pg. 11	-	Text added
_		7/00/055				Chapter 1 - 1.2 Planning Context -		Typographical/Formatting
7	Draft 1	//26/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #007	edit: use "It provides" delete "In turn"	first paragraph - Pg. 11	Correction made	Correction made

8	Draft 1	7/26/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #008	I wonder if this section can be more logically organized, specifically where the TMP fits into all of these goals. Here's a possible suggestion that might work as a figure: A box with WA State as heading and GMA and Trans Plan as bullets, a box with PSRC as heading and Vision and RTP as bullets, a box with KC with Plan and Metro Strat Plan as bullets. Arrows from these boxes go to a box titled Sammamish Comp Plan Trans Element. An arrow from Comp Plan goes to TMP with Citywide Transit Plan, TIP, and NTMP as bullets. Basically, you ought to somehow illustrate / explain where the TMP fits into all of this and the elements of the TMP. It would be ideal if the public could easily understand how this all fits together.			Graphic Created (Added to Draft #3)
							DRAFT 2 -	
					edit: should be "advances" "meets" "reflects" since each of these explain	Chapter 1 - 1.1 Background - third	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
9	Draft 1	7/26/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #009	what the "prioritized list" does.	paragraph - Pg. 11	Correction made	Correction made
						Chapter 1 - 1.2.2 Puget Sound		
						Regional Council - Regional	DRAFT 2 -	
						Transported Plan (RTP) - safety	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
10	Draft 1	7/19/2024	Hongning Wang	Konveio #010	E should not be bold	bullet - Pg. 13	Correction made	Correction made
						Chapter 1 - 1.2.2 Puget Sound	DRAFT 2 -	
						Regional Council - Vision 2050 -	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
11	Draft 1	8/7/2024	John Backman	Konveio #011	Use bullets and sub-bullets for the Vision 2050 strategies.	second paragraph - Pg. 13	Correction made	Correction made
						Chapter 1 - 1.2.5 City of	DRAFT 2 -	
						Sammamish - Transportation	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
12	Draft 1	7/19/2024	Hongning Wang	Konveio #012	Which criteria?	Improvement Plan - Pg. 16	Correction made	Correction made
					Echoing my suggestion at the start of section 1.2 how does the TMP fit	Chapter 1 - 1.2.5 City of	DRAFT 3 - Will add graphic shown during Planning Commission Public Hearing Presentation on 8/29/24 to	
					with this? Does the TPM include he City Transit Plan, TIP and Neighborhood	l '		Graphic Created (Added to
13	Draft 1	7/26/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #013	Trans Mgmt? Do these pre-date the TPM and will continue to be separate?	SAMMAMISH 2044 - Pg. 16		Draft #3)
10	Dialet	772072024	I INC DICORO	1.0111010 11010	Label the small segment of SE 43rd way that is identified as a Principal	0.4	DRAFT 2 - Street	Diait (10)
					Arterial. Maybe also footnote the fact that Issaquah is responsible for the		Classification Map has been	
					rest of SE 43rd way. (I didn't know that any part of SE 43rd way was in	Chapter 2 - 2023 Street Functional	recreated and labeling	
14	Draft 1	8/8/2024	John Backman	Konveio #014	Sammamish)	Classification Map - Pg. 19		Graphic Updated
1-	Dianti	0/0/2024	John Backman	KONVCIO #014	- Cultimatilisti	Cussineation Flap Fig. 10	Corrected.	отартне орашен
15	Draft 1	8/8/2024	John Backman	Konveio #015	Please explain the different colored chart lines going into 2025.	Chapter 2 - Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Trends - Pg. 26	DRAFT 2 - Clarification sentence added regarding Figure. 4 Pavement Conditions Trends by Year.	Text added
10	DIGIL 1	5/5/2024	John Backman	KOHVOIO WOTO	Would it be possible to footnote any significant pavement condition	1 6. 20	DRAFT 2 -	Tontadada
					upgrades that	Chapter 2 - Pavement Condition		Typographical/Formatting
16	Draft 1	0/0/2024	John Backman	Konyajo #016		·	1	
16	Draft 1	0/8/2024	John Backman	Konveio #016	have been completed since this 2021 survey?	Survey Map - Pg. 27	Correction made	Correction made

2

	1	1		1		Ţ	Г	
							Figure 8 does not go in to	
							the level of detail for all-way	
							stops that have flashers	
					In addition, there are at least two all way stop signs that feature motion		because they act the same	
					activated	Chapter 2 - 2.4.3 Intersections -	as non-flashing all-way	
17	Draft 1	8/8/2024	John Backman	Konveio #017	flashing lights around the stop sign.	Pg. 35	stops.	No change made
							Staff does not recommend	
							changing the LTS for these	
							roadways because the	
							presence of a bike lane or	
					To the extent that the ratings could lead to investments there are a few		wider shoulder does not	
					incongruencies. The following might be more accurately rated as level 3		automatically make a	
					rather than 4: E Lk Samm Parkway is shown as high stress despite bike		facility lower stress. There	
					lanes and a somewhat high number of cyclists. Sahalee Way NE has a wide	Chapter 2 - Figure 16. Existing	are other factors such as	
					shoulder and 228 to NE 8th has a bike lane or decently wide shoulder.	Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress - Pg.	speed, traffic volume, and	
18	Draft 1	7/26/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #018	Inglewood has east of the Pkwy has bike lanes.	57	number of lanes.	No change made
						Chapter 3 - Table 13. 2044	DRAFT 2 -	
						Intersection LOS Deficiencies - Pg.	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
19	Draft 1	7/29/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #019	Include units for "delay"	66	Correction made	Correction made
							DRAFT 2 -	
						Chapter 4 - Table 16. Policy	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
20	Draft 1	7/29/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #020	list is missing at least one bullet	Workshop Considerations - Pg. 75	Correction made	Correction made
							DRAFT 2 -	
						Chapter 4 - 4.4 Transportation	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
21	Draft 1	7/29/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #021	from 25th Way to ??? (north or south?)	Master Plan - last bullet - Pg. 78	Correction made	Correction made
							DRAFT 2 -	
					"Challenges" might be a better heading for	Chapter 4 - 4.6 Issues &	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
22	Draft 1	7/29/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #022		Opportunities - Pg. 80		Correction made
					Add a short sentence that introduces the goal and policies list. Then place		DRAFT 2 -	
					the list after that sentence. Then move starting with "Transportation Goal 1"		1	Typographical/Formatting
23	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #023		Network - fourth paragraph - Pg. 82	Correction made	Correction made
					Add a sentence the briefly mentions figure 22 (layers) so that this			
					paragraph includes that mention and the importance of collaboration.			
					Move the figure after this paragraph. Section 5.2 was read. It seemed to		DRAFT 2 -	
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Chapter 5 - 5.2 Multimodal	Typographical/Formatting	Typographical/Formatting
24	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #024	improve the flow.	Network - third paragraph - Pg. 82	Correction made	Correction made
					I don't recall reading a definition of multimodal earlier in the document.			
					Maybe this section should start with a definition. Also, as is, the first			
					sentence (providing access to) can be achieved if only cars are being		DRAFT 2 -	
					used. That sentence hardly seems like the way to begin explaining plans for	· ·	1	Typographical/Formatting
25	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #025	a multimodal network.	Network - first paragraph - Pg. 82	Correction made	Correction made

26	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #026	Is a layered network the same as a multimodal network. If so, use one term throughout. different, explain the difference.	Chapter 5 - Figure 22. The Layered Network - Pg. 83	DRAFT 2 - Additional explanation added to Figure 22 - Layered Network.	Text added
27	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #027	Maybe retitle to "Biking and Walking Plan" Keep it simple and clear.	Chapter 5 - 5.2.1 Walking & Biking - Bicycle and Pedestrian Mobility Plan - Pg. 84	The current title of this plan is "Bicycle and Pedestrian Mobility Plan".	No change made
28	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #028	Does pedestrian mean walking, or walking and biking?	Chapter 5 - 5.2.1 Walking & Biking - second paragraph - Pg. 84	DRAFT 2 - Typographical/Formatting Correction made	Typographical/Formatting Correction made
29	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #029	Could this list of strategies be combined with the prior list of the "bicycle and pedestrian mobility plan"? Frankly, the draft TMP has so many lists that say somewhat similar things that it becomes hard to understand. Consider how the document could be simplified and shortened consolidating some of the lists might be a place to start.	Chapter 5 - 5.2.1 Walking & Biking - Walking and biking strategies include: - Pg. 85	These are two separate lists: Bike/Ped Plan list identifies purpose of plan and Bike/Ped strategies list identifies strategies for walking and biking so they are not being combined.	No change made
30	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #030	encourage accessibility? Will amenities do that? Maybe "encourage accessibility and use". We want to encourage people to use transit, and making the stops safer and more comfortable would be a small step in that direction.	Chapter 5 - 5.2.2 Transit - Transit Strategies include: - second bullet - Pg. 85	DRAFT 2 - Typographical/Formatting Correction made	Typographical/Formatting Correction made
31	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #031	Figure 22 (layered network) refers to "auto" and "trucks/delivery vehicles". Should this section be titled "Autos and Trucks/Delivery Vehicles"? Should there be a mention that this section refers to all types of vehicles? (This is a minor point. I did briefly wonder if a robust TMP might include a few strategies specific to trucks/delivery vehicles.)	Chapter 5 - 5.2.3 Vehicles - Pg. 87	DRAFT 2 - Typographical/Formatting Correction made	Typographical/Formatting Correction made
32	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #032	Is concurrency the only strategy to ensure acceptable LOS? Seems like at least one other strategy is needed directly related to vehicle traffic. It might make sense to mention in the section's text (but not the list of strategies) that improving other modes of transportation will benefit intersection LOS.	Chapter 5 - 5.2.3 Vehicles - strategies include: - Pg. 87	The strategy for intersection LOS is tied to the Concurrency Development Review process.	No change made

						I		
33	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #033	The list that follows describes what a complete streets policy should contain. The list is somewhat similar to the list provided for the "bicycle and pedestrian mobility plan". Maybe both should be policy considerations or both should be plan considerations. Doing so it that makes sense helps to make the structure of each subsection similar. Most other sections have "plan" and "strategies".	Chapter 5 - 5.2.4 Complete Streets - Complete Streets Policy - second	suggestions related to a	No change made
34	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #034	•	Transportation Systems - second	DRAFT 2 - Typographical/Formatting Correction made	Typographical/Formatting Correction made
35	Draft 1		Mike Bresko	Konveio #035	Will there (or should there) be a reduction in the transportation impact fee for the percent of affordable units in a development? The trade-off being impact fees will reduce affordability but when considering Sammamish residents the fees provide a "fair" way to pay for improvements to handle	Chapter 5 - 5.3 Investments in Transportation Systems - Transportation Impact Fee	Traffic Impact Fees and affordable housing units will be considered during the 2025 City-wide Impact Fee	
36	Draft 1	7/30/2024		Konveio #036	There should at least be a mention in the text about VMT reduction, and ideally, a list of strategies (or an explanation that multimodal network will contribute to reduced VMT. (Although, based on what I'm seeing in the TMP, it doesn't appear that there will be sufficient strategies implemented to contribute to achieving the VMT goals. I suggest that someone look at the	Chapter 5 - 5.5 Environment - Climate Change and Resiliency -	DRAFT 2 - Added text regarding VMT reduction to the beginning of Climate Change and Resiliency section.	Text added
37	Draft 1	7/30/2024	Mike Bresko	Konveio #037		Chapter 5 - 5.6.3 Future 2044 Conditions in Town Center - Table 18. 2044 Intersection LOS Results, 228th Ave SE & SE 4th St - Pg. 100		Typographical/Formatting Correction made

r				ı		1	_	
							The trend in the past	
							couple of years shows	
							consistent incremental	
							increase in traffic volumes,	
							not yet to pre-pandemic	
							volumes though. Traffic	
							volumes over the years are	
							shown in the ADT map on	
							City's website at:	
							https://www.sammamish.	
							us/media/yevp2ffg/adt-	
							map-2015_2024.pdf	
							The future conditions	
							analysis looked at baseline	
							and three alternatives, as	
							described in section 3.2.	
							Only one of those	
							alternatives assumed "pre-	
							pandemic" trip rates.	
							Accordingly, the baseline	
							future year analysis	
							assumed trends to	
			Sayed Safavian		A review of historical data on daily traffic volumes along arterial and even		continue with a larger	
			(Planning		some collector street shows a considerable drop in their use on a daily		portion of City residents	
			Commission		basis. Some even to a 30% drop. If this trend continues in the future, what		working from home.	
38	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	would be the impact of such a trend on the TPM and its recommendations?	Page 20- Traffic Volumes		No change made
							The industry standard for	
							pavement condition	
							analysis is every 4-5 years.	
							The city plans on updating	
			Sayed Safavian				the Pavement management	
			(Planning				Strategic Plan in 2025 and	
			Commission		The analysis conducted is already 3 years old. How often such a pavement	Page 2.3.1- Pavement	will evaluate current	
39	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	condition evaluation is needed?	Management System	pavement conditions.	No change made
			Sayed Safavian					
			(Planning				Technical staff has	
			Commission		The breakdown on the roadway centerline values listed are different than		confirmed that the values	
40	Draft 1	8/25/2024		Email	those listed on Table 4 (page 25)	Page 18- Table 1	are accurate and the same.	No change made
		J J J J		I		1 .0	1	

	•	1		1			_	
							All way stop control does	
							not impeded connectivity.	
							Converting all way stop to a	
							roundabout is usually	
							implemented to address	
							LOS deficiency. This is	
							identified as part of the	
							concurrency program and	
							addressed accordingly	
							through traffic	
							analysis/study within the	
							scope of future	
							improvement projects.	
			Sayed Safavian				Additionally, traffic circles	
			(Planning		Is it possible to identify potential intersections that are currently controlled		are not a traffic control	
			Commission		by multi-way stop signs but eligible for conversion to traffic circle or		device; rather they are a	
41	Droft 1	8/25/2024		Email		Page 33- Local Connectivity	-	No chango mado
41	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	EIIIdil	Touridabout?	Page 33- Local Connectivity	traffic calming measure.	No change made
							This information will be	
			Sayed Safavian				determined and inventoried	
			I -					
			(Planning Commission		What the current level of cidewalk evailability (and or deficiency) in the city		in the Bicycle and	
40	Droft 1	0/05/000/			What the current level of sidewalk availability (and or deficiency) in the city,		Pedestrian Mobility Plan	No change made
42	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	broken down by the Roadway Functional Classification	Page 41	that is planned for 2025.	No change made
							DRAFT 3 - Thank you, this	
							was missing a definition.	
							The Pedestrian Priority	
							Network is a network	
							identified as providing	
							pedestrian connectivity to	
							key areas of Sammamish. It	
							is where the City should	
							focus pedestrian	
			Sayed Safavian				enhancements. Tier 1 is all	
			(Planning				Principal and Minor	
			Commission					Text added; will be visible in
43	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	Please define and identify Pedestrian Priority Network.	Page 42	Collector Arterials.	Draft 3 (Added to Draft 3)

		ı	I	1		Т	T	
44	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Sayed Safavian (Planning Commission	Email	The report lists the city's LOS standards for various roadways based on their functional designation. This includes LOS E for principal arterials that cross other roadways. However, Table 8 on page 50 lists several intersections where principal arterials cross other streets as LOS D.		The LOS for principal arterials is D. However, it may be reduced to E if more than 3 approach lanes are required to maintain LOS D, as stated in City code 21.08.020. E.1. This condition does not apply to all principle arterial intersections. This is consistent with table 8.	No change made
44	Diait 1	0/23/2024	inember)	Lillait	שוופוב אווויטואמג מוגפוומגט טוטטט טנוופו טנופפגט מט בטט ט.	age 40	consistent with table o.	ino change made
45	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Sayed Safavian (Planning Commission Member)	Email	Table 8 provides an overview of intersection LOS throughout the city. The results shown in that table indicate that an overwhelming majority of key arterial and collector intersections are currently operating at LOS C or better. However, field observations clearly show a considerable amount of queue formation during morning and evening hours. Could this be due to such factors as use of inappropriate traffic operation modeling, inaccurate data collection, or the lack of model calibration?	Page 50- Table 8	The modeling, data collection and calibration was conducted by professionals in that field using current industry practices.	No change made
46	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Sayed Safavian (Planning Commission Member)	Email	Would it be possible to add the latest LOS information for the key intersections outside the city but in most cases control traffic along the city arterial streets? Can the report list a few examples of remedies (such as reduction of posted speed limits) to reduce LTS noted on table 9 in any future roadway resurface or rehabilitation projects?	Page 56	This table is simply describing the factors that impact an LTS rating. Specific project recommendations will be part of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Mobility Plan. Staff and Consultant team are not recommending adding remedies to this table in the TMP at this time.	No change made
47	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Sayed Safavian (Planning Commission Member)	Email	Can the data shown on Figure 19 be further analyzed to investigate the causes and remedies due to a relatively high incidence level of traffic collision due to the existence of fixed objects along roadway paths in the city?	Page 62	Staff and Consultant team believe the commenter meant to refer to Figure 18: 2018-2022 Traffic Accident by Type. This is the information that was available for the TMP and will not be futher analyzed.	No change made

	T	I	1	1		1	1	
							All intersections meeting	
							critical junctions criteria	
							were included in the	
							analysis. Future land use	
							plan are incorporated into	
							2044 traffic demand model.	
							Traffic volumes used in the	
							analysis were extracted	
							from 2044 traffic demand	
							model that accounts for	
							future planned land use	
					The future condition assessment report, as written, appears to be limited in		growth adopted by council	
					scope, focusing solely on vehicular traffic delay and a few specific		early last year. Bicycle and	
			Sayed Safavian		intersections within and around the Town Center. This approach fails to		pedestrian 20-year impacts	
			(Planning		account for the broader development anticipated throughout the city based		will be analyzed separately	
			Commission		on the assumed land use plan. As a result, the report may not accurately		in the Bicycle and	
48	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	predict the future transportation conditions in the area.	Page 63- Future Conditions	Pedestrian Mobility Plan.	No change made
							Alternative 3 uses a higher	
							mode shift to transit only	
							within key areas defined as	
							medium-transit-usage	
							zones (within a half-mile	
							walkshed of transit) and one	
							high-transit usage zone	
							(Town Center). This is	
					Also, conducting analysis based on the applicability of the transit-use data		limited to areas close to	
					from the City of Bellevue may not truly reflect the conditions in		transit with high potential	
			Sayed Safavian		Sammamish. This is primarily due to the availability of diverse forms of		for transit usage. Alternative	
			(Planning		transportation that exists in Bellevue but unavailable is Sammamish. Such		3 shows what is possible	
			Commission		a distinguishable transit service availability difference has significant		with a higher emphasis on	
49	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	influence in choosing mode of transportation by individuals.	Page 63- Future Conditions	transit use in the future.	No change made

	1		ı	1				
							All transportation modeling	
							and considerations take	
							into account projected and	
							proposed land uses city-	
							wide. This information was	
					The TMP seems to be clearly focused on short-term scenarios centered		confirmed with	
					around the Town Center development, and may not fully align with the city's		Sammamish's Department	
			Sayed Safavian		broader 20-year plan. A more comprehensive transportation strategy		of Community Development	
			-				and the overall	
			(Planning		should consider the city's overall development trajectory, including land			
50	Due ft 4	0/05/0004	Commission	F	use changes throughout the city, to ensure long-term sustainability and		Comprehensive Plan	No about to made
50	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	accessibility.	Page 64- Future Traffic Conditions	consulting firm Framework.	No change made
							Table 13 documents the	
							intersections with LOS	
							deficiencies based on their	
							current condition. The	
							table/TMP document do not	
							address possible	
					Table 13 provides a summary of the Level of Service (LOS) for various		mitigations. Mitigation	
					intersections in future development scenarios. However, the analysis is		options are explored during	
			Sayed Safavian		based solely on signalization concepts. Could the noted intersection		the preliminary design stage	
			(Planning		deficiencies be avoided if these intersections were controlled by either		of future projects that will	
			Commission		roundabouts or traffic circles. Such a change can potentially reduce speed,	Page 66- Intersection LOS	address LOS deficiencies	
51	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	improve traffic flow, reduce potential for severe accidents.	Deficiencies	among other issues.	No change made

	1			1	Level of Service Definition			
					The TMP document, as currently written, describing and assessing the			
					current and future conditions are solely based on the application of the			
					traditional system level of service evaluation. The traditional LOS definition,			
					as outlined in Section #2.5, is primarily focused on assessing roadway and			
					intersection delays experienced by drivers. This approach is inconsistent			
					with the TMP's goal of creating a multimodal transportation system that			
					incorporates all modes of transportation. A more comprehensive LOS			
					evaluation should consider factors beyond vehicular traffic. In a			
					multimodal system, the LOS should encompass:		Vahiaular I OC ia iuat ana	
					Pedestrian movement: Safety, accessibility, and convenience for and actions.		Vehicular LOS is just one	
					pedestrians.		aspect of LOS that is	
					Bicycle use: Availability and quality of bike lanes, safety, and		addressed by the TMP. It	
					connectivity.		follows the City's LOS	
					Public transit: Frequency, reliability, and accessibility of transit		standards documented in	
					services.		City's code section	
					To align with the TMP's objectives, developing either a separate LOS		21.08.020. E.1. Future	
					standard for each mode of transportation or a unified standard that		conditions bicycle and	
			Sayed Safavian		considers all modes would be required. Such an approach would ensure		pedestrian LOS will be	
			(Planning		that the transportation system supports the needs of all users, not just		analyzed and addressed in	
	D () 4	0.105.1000.4	Commission		drivers, and contributes to a more equitable and sustainable mobility	.	the Bicycle and Pedestrian	
52	Draft 1	8/25/2024	Member)	Email	environment.	Page 47	Mobility Plan.	No change made
							As described in the Transit	
							Plan; the city does not	
							operate the transit system.	
					A truly officialize moultimedal transportation evetom requires rehyet		The city will be conducting transit enhancement	
					A truly effective multi-modal transportation system requires robust			
					supporting infrastructure to significantly reduce reliance on personal		studies in 2025 and beyond	
					vehicles. In the realm of public transit, this necessitates preferential		as listed in the approved	
					treatments along arterial roadways to ensure buses can travel at speeds		2025-2030 Transportation	
					comparable to or exceeding general traffic, thereby offering shorter		Improvement Plan (TIP) and	
			Coved Cofession		commute times. Such treatments may include exclusive bus lanes and		will incorporate the	
			Sayed Safavian		bypass lanes at key intersections. The TMP as currently written, is based on		findings/any proposed	
			(Planning		the changes in making insignificant changes in the bus operation in the city.		projects into the TMP in	
50	Duaft 4	0/05/0004	Commission	Ema ail	Such a minor change would not help achieving and major success in	Dogo CO Local Transit	future updates as the	No about a mode
53	Draft 1	8/25/2024	IMember)	Email	increasing transit ridership.	Page 69- Local Transit	information is available.	No change made

				1	I		
54	Draft 1	Sayed Safavian (Planning Commission Member)	Email	The city's Transit Master Plan proposes implementing preferential treatment for buses at key intersections. While this strategy has proven effective in dense urban areas with high traffic volumes, its applicability in Sammamish is questionable. Given the current low bus ridership (less than 400 per day), infrequent service, and relatively low traffic congestion on side streets, the operational benefits of this approach may be limited. A more tailored solution, considering Sammamish's specific needs and characteristics, should be explored to optimize bus transit in the city."	Page 86- Transit	While the Transit Plan listed specific projects, the projects still need to be evaluated in a traffic study. If the traffic study shows little benefit to transit or high added delay to general purpose traffic, the project will be re-evaluated.	No change made
55	Draft 1	Sayed Safavian (Planning Commission Member)	Email	Chapter 3- Future Conditions The future condition assessment report, as written, appears to be limited in scope, focusing solely on vehicular traffic delay and a few specific intersections within and around the Town Center. This approach fails to account for the broader development anticipated throughout the city based on the assumed land use plan. As a result, the report may not accurately predict the future transportation conditions in the area. Also, conducting analysis based on the applicability of the transit-use data from the City of Bellevue may not truly reflect the conditions in Sammamish. This is primarily due to the availability of diverse forms of transportation that exists in Bellevue but unavailable is Sammamish. Such a distinguishable transit service availability difference has significant influence in choosing mode of transportation by individuals.		This is a repeat comment of comments #48 & 49 in this comment matrix.	No change made
56	Draft 1	Sayed Safavian (Planning Commission	Email	Complete Street While the TMP report highlights the benefits of a Complete Street Plan, it fails to pinpoint specific areas within the city where such a strategy would be most feasible."		City road standards are in line with complete streets standards, however the city has not currently adopted complete streets standards specifically. This will be considered in a future workplan effort and incorporated into the TMP at a later date.	
57	Draft 2	Sudharani Sunkara (Planning Commission Member)	Email	extra underscore in the 3rd paragraph.	Page iX	1	Correction made; will be visible in Draft 3

	1	I		I	T	Т		1
							The 6-year TIP includes	
							short and medium term	
							projects primarily due to the	
							nature of this document	
							being a 6-year plan.	
							However there are some	
			Sudharani				longer term projects on the	
			Sunkara (Planning				TIP as they are proposed in	
			Commission				phases, so you may only	
58	Draft 2	8/27/2024		Email	6 year TIP – hope we have short, med and long term projects	Page X	see one phase at a time.	No change made
			Sudharani					
			Sunkara (Planning				DRAFT 3 -	
			Commission				Typographical/Formatting	Correction made; will be
59	Draft 2	8/27/2024	Member)	Email	error	Page Xii	Correction made	visible in Draft 3
			Cudharani				This TMD conseedly focuses	
			Sudharani				This TMP generally focuses	
			Sunkara (Planning				on what the City can	
	D (10		Commission		I don't see anything stating lack of public transportation connectivity. We	D . 00 0.44	control. The transit network	l
60	Draft 2	8/27/2024	Member)	Email	don't have any buses going to Seattle from Sammamish park and ride.	Page 29 – 2.4.1 – connectivity	is out of the City's control.	No change made
			Sudharani				This TMP generally focuses	
			Sunkara (Planning				on what the City can	
			Commission		we don't have any bus system with the city. It is hard to travel with in the		control. The transit network	
61	Draft 2	8/27/2024		Email	city if a citizen doesn't have a car.	Page 30 – local connectivity	is out of the City's control.	No change made
01	Diait 2	0/2//2024	Member)	Liliait	city if a citizen doesn't have a car.	r age 30 – tocat connectivity	is out of the City's control.	No change made
			Sudharani					
			Sunkara (Planning				This is up to date with	
			Commission				Sound Transit trip	
62	Draft 2	8/27/2024		Email	route #554 - please check the accuracy and update it	Page 44	information	No change made
			Sudharani					
			Sunkara (Planning					Correction made; will be
			Commission				DRAFT 3 - Will be added to	visible in Draft 3 (added to
63	Draft 2	8/27/2024	Member)	Email	park and ride facilities - I don't see it on figure 16 as indicated	Page 44	next draft	Draft 3)
							The Klahanie park and ride	
							facilities do not connect to	
			Sudharani				fixed transit. The facility is	
			Sunkara (Planning				available to the public as a	
			Commission		parking facilities in Klahanie - how are they supposed to get to Sammamish		place to meet for carpool.	
64	Draft 2	8/27/2024	Member)	Email	p&r?	Page 44		No change made

			Sudharani Sunkara (Planning Commission				Sammamish residents can drive to park and ride lots, park, and connect to transit at these publicly available	
65	Draft 2	8/27/2024	Member)	Email	lots outside Sammamish provide opportunity to park and connect? How?	Page 45	facilities.	No change made
66	Draft 2		Sudharani Sunkara (Planning Commission	Email	for alternative 3 - are we following Bellevue's multimodal implementation guide?	Page 70	Alternative 3 uses the transit mode shift from Bellevue's Multimodal Concurrency Implementation Guide.	No change made
67	Draft 2		Sudharani Sunkara (Planning Commission Member)	Email	LOS deficiencies - are we anticipating future deficienies at these two intersections?	Page 71	All expected future LOS deficiencies are shown in Table 19.	No change made
68	Draft 2		Sudharani Sunkara (Planning Commission Member)	Email	route 269 - going to Mercer island? It doesn't go there now.	Page 76	This is a planned service change from King County Metro.	No change made

								I
							The City has limited control	
							over the transit system. For	
							transit, the City is	
							implementing a transit	
							enhancement program set	
							to improve connection,	
							safety, and speed and	
							reliability of the transit	
							route. For Metro Flex, if the	
							public is stating it is difficult	
							to use, it could be because	
							their destination is out of	
							the service area, or because	
							they are not familiar with	
							Metro Flex. The city does	
							not have control over King	
							County Metro Transit, Metro	
							Flex or Community Van	
							programs, however staff	
							does meet with King County	
			Sudharani				Metro on a regular basis and	
			Sunkara (Planning				has discussions about	
			Commission		Public is stating that City transit, community van and Metroflex are not		issues heard from the	
69	Draft 2	8/28/2024	Member)	Email	easy to use. How are we planning to improve these aspects?	Page 84	community.	No change made
			·					
							The City talks with King	
							County Metro and Sound	
							Transit regularly. Due to the	
							City's location and ridership	
							trends, transit agencies	
							have no plans to add	
							express transit lines to the	
							Sammamish Park and Ride	
			Sudharani		regional transit to Sammamish is limited? We used to have 216 and 218		at this time. City staff	
			Sunkara (Planning		bus routes to Sammamish park and ride and they are cancelled now. Is		continue to advocate for	
			Commission		there a plan to talk to KCM and or ST to have more express buses to		express transit lines and	
70	Draft 2	8/28/2024	Member)	Email	sammamsish park and ride.	Page 88		No change made

	1		1	1				
71	Draft 2		Sudharani Sunkara (Planning Commission Member)	Email	the policies are looking great, but I hear contradictory in some paragraphs that city cannot do anything about the buses or routes because it is operated by outside entities.	Pages 91-92	While Sammamish has no control over bus schedules and routes, the City can provide safe access to the transit route.	No change made
			·					-
							Bellevue and Redmond have land use significantly different from Sammamish which allows those cites to maintain high ridership that KCM and ST require to increase service, including express routes.	
70	Droft 2		Sudharani Sunkara (Planning Commission		it states that city has limited control over transit services provided by KCM and ST. Bellevue and Redmond collaborated and have excellent bus routes. I like the idea of collaborating with transit services and private partnerships to have mobility hubs. If we can have details about this in the future		As part of the 2025-2030 TIP, a Mobility Hub Implementation Plan is planned to be completed as part of the Transit	
72	Draft 2	8/28/2024	Member)	Email	implementation that would be great.	Page 94	Enhancement Program.	No change made
			Sudharani Sunkara (Planning Commission				The city is continuing to work on the estimated revenue projections for the next 20 years. The information located in Table 26: Transportation Capital Improvement Funding 2024 2044 is the most current information available. This is a working draft and will be updated as more	
73	Draft 2	8/28/2024	Member)	Email	do we not have the estimated revenue projection?	Page 112	information is available.	No change made

	1	I	1	1		T	1	1
							DRAFT 3 - This information	
							is available in the current	
							2025 - 2030 Transportation	
							Improvement Plan (TIP)	
							Program and Project Guide	
							that accompanies the 2025	
							2030 TIP that was approved	
			Sudharani				by City Council in June	
			Sunkara (Planning					A reference to the current TIP
			Commission		can we get the details of the intelligent transportation program and transit		document will be included	document will be added to
74	Draft 2	8/28/2024	Member)	Email		Page 128	in the next draft.	DRAFT 3 (Added to Draft 3)
			,					, ,
							Th	
							There are various regional	
							meetings that city staff	
							attend on a monthly basis	
							and report out on to city	
							leadership as necessary;	
							this includes but is not	
							limited to the Eastside	
							Transportation Partnership,	
							Regional Transportation	
							Committee, and Puget	
							Sound Regional Council	
							Regional Project Evaluation	
			Sudharani				Committee. Staff also	
			Sunkara (Planning		I and become the control of the cont		meets quarterly with the	
75	Duett 0	0/00/0004	Commission	E	I am happy to see the coordination efforts - how can we monitor these	Do 70 100	school districts within in the	
75	Draft 2	8/28/2024	Member)	Email	efforts to see the outcome or improvements?	Page 130	city.	No change made
					The plan should clarify that modeled land use assumptions are internally			
					consistent and based on adopted targets. Figures LU-14 (Volume 2) and			
					Table 15 (Transportation Master Plan appendix) assume different total			
					number of housing units by 2044. Information on these and other		0. "	
					requirements for transportion elements is provided in Commerce's		Staff is working on	
			PSRC - Liz		Transportation Guidebook:			Additional clarification will be
			Underwood-	<u>_</u>	https://deptofcommerce.app.box.com/s/erocgtpv3acyxv2m9bcb59c38s13			added. (Will be added to Draft
76	Draft 2	9/13/2024	Bultman	Email	qqjb	Appendix B - Page 6	numbers.	4)

77	Draft 2	9/13/2024	PSRC - Liz Underwood- Bultman	Email	The City currently includes the six-year Transportation Improvement Program with investments through 2030. The plan should identify the long-range project list to meet demands through 2044, including approximate timing. The project list should identify regional capacity projects in PSRC's Regional Transportatio nplan that are sponsored by the city. Please see the Regional Transportation Plan Appedix D2: https://www.psrc.org/media/5938 for the list of regional capacity projects. PSRC's Transportation Element Guidance: https://www.psrc.org/media/7504 provides additional recommendations for transportation project lists. The city's transportation financing plan is not yet complete. As noted in the draft, the paln should include total anticipated revenue and a comparison to expected project costs.			Additional information included. (Added to Draft 3)
78	Draft 2	9/13/2024	PSRC - Liz Underwood- Bultman	Email	The plan could incorporate policy and analysis of race and equity in the context of transportation. Information to identify transportation needs and opportunities could come from demographic data and public outreach to underserved populations. Equity could also be a consideration in evaluating project benefits and priorities. Resources on equity-focused transportation planning can be found in PSRC's Equity Planning Resources for Comprehensive Plans: https://www.psrc.org/media/7633.	Document-wide	' '	Added additional information. (Added to Draft 3)